41

Docket No.: 207682US3KK



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELIAND
MAIER
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000 GMAIER@OBLON.COM

CHRISTOPHER D. WARD SENIOR ASSOCIATE (703) 413-3000 CWARD@OBLON.COM

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/849,259

Applicants: Junichi KITANO, et al.

Filing Date: May 7, 2001

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR

COATING AND DEVELOPING

Group Art Unit: 1752

Examiner: THORNTON, Y.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER, & NEUSTADT, J.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Christopher D. Ward Registration No. 41,367

207682US3KK





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

Junichi KITANO, et al.

: EXAMINER: THORNTON, Y.

SERIAL NO: 09/849,259

•

FILED: May 7, 2001

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1752

FOR: METHOD AND SYSTEM

FOR COATING AND

DEVELOPING

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated September 23, 2003, the Applicants elect with traverse the invention of Group II corresponding to Claims 11-21.

The Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement based on MPEP § 803, which states:

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding restriction requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Application Serial No.: 09/849,259

Junichi KITANO, et al.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single invention be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-21 be conducted.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599 Attorney of Record

Christopher D. Ward Registration No. 41,367

Customer Number

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220

GJM:CDW:brf

I:\atty\cdw\CDW\Election of Species.doc